Technical Article

Third edition of medical safety standard impacts power supply selection

By Peter Blyth, Industry Director - Medical, XP Power



Safety is paramount wherever power supplies are used, but nowhere more so than in medical applications where even small parasitic leakage currents may compromise safety. First published in 1977, the internationally accepted IEC 60601-1 standard has been continuously developed to help alleviate safety issues relating to all manner of medical equipment.



The latest version is the third edition that was published in December 2005 and that has been adopted on different timescales around the globe. In the European Union, the standard appeared as EN 60601-1:2006 and its three-year transition date expired last September. Similarly, most major countries have adopted IEC 60601-1 as their national standard, in some cases with national variations such as ANSI/AAMI ES60601-1 in the US and Canada's CAN/CSA C22.2 No. 601.1.

Clearly, minimizing risk is a crucial part of any medical equipment design process, and it is in strengthening this aspect that the updates within the third edition focus upon. The standard's range extends to equipment that has a single connection to the ac line supply and that is intended to diagnose, treat, or monitor patients under medical supervision. Qualifying equipment includes devices that make physical or electrical contact with the patient, and/or transfer energy to or from the patient, and/or detect energy transfer to or from the patient. The most significant change that the third edition introduces is that equipment manufacturers must now follow a formal risk management procedure that follows the ISO 14971 model, which effectively means that you now have to comply with a process standard as well as the fundamental product standard.

While the second edition simply addressed basic safety issues to ensure freedom from any electrical, mechanical, radiation, and thermal hazards, it did not require devices to remain functional—fail-safe was adequate, and compliance with test criteria relied upon a pass/fail result that did not take into account the essential performance of the device-under-test. Recognizing these limitations, the third edition introduces specifications for "essential performance" that requires equipment to continue functioning as its designers intended throughout the test process.

Within the electrical safety arena, the standard continues to require that equipment implements two Means of Protection (MOP) such that if a failure occurs within one area, a second mechanism safeguards the operator and/or the patient against any electric shock hazard. Figure 1 models the insulation diagram that applies to the main circuit blocks in a notional medical device, and shows the two isolation barriers that provide the two Means of Protection that must be present within a device that may come into contact with a patient.





Figure 1: : IEC60601-1 third edition demands that two means of protection (MOPs), or isolation barriers exist where patients may come into contact with equipment.

The standard allows for three defensive approaches that may be used in various combinations safety insulation, protective earth, and protection impedance. So far as insulation is concerned, a change in terminology sees basic insulation allocated a 1 MOP rating while double or reinforced insulation rates as 2 MOPs. It's therefore essential to determine several key factors from the outset of the equipment design process, including its insulation class and whether it will rely upon a protective earth connection. These considerations extend to the "applied part", if present, that is deliberately attached to the patient. Such applied parts are separately classified as to the level of electric shock protection that they provide.

Significantly for power supplies, the third edition distinguishes between protecting the equipment's operator and the patient within its Means of Operator Protection (MOOP) and Means of Patient Protection (MOPP) categories. This distinction can result in quite different safety insulation and isolation requirements for circuits that operators and patients may come into contact with. Specifically, anything that falls within the remit of operator protection only has to meet the clearance and creepage requirements that IEC/EN 60950 specifies for general-purpose information and technology equipment. By contrast, circuitry that falls within the realm of patient protection must meet the far more exacting requirements that the second edition of IEC 60601-1 introduced. Furthermore, some equipment may include parts that fall under both categories, with risk analysis techniques often being used to determine the respective boundaries.



In this respect, it's arguable that the third edition of IEC 60601-1 defines patient vicinity less well than in its predecessor. While the second edition drew a boundary of 1.5 m around the patient, the latest version employs risk assessment to determine where the patient is and the likelihood of him or her making contact with any part of the medical equipment. If the risk assessment shows that there is negligible likelihood of contact being made, it's theoretically possible to relax the insulation requirements to those of IEC/EN 60950. This also applies to conscious patients, as the standard now differentiates between conscious and unconscious states and makes the implicit assumption that those who are unconscious require a greater degree of protection. That is, in theory at least, the safety requirements for equipment that only comes into contact with conscious patients may be the same as the requirements for operators.

Selecting suitable power supplies

The main attraction of being able to specify a supply that meets normal IEC/EN 60950 standards is the potential for cost reduction. For instance, a supply that's built to meet IEC/EN 60601-1's far stricter demands to satisfy its Means of Patient Protection category requires significantly larger creepage distances and air clearances that normal commercial-off-the-shelf supplies employ, together with greater levels of dielectric breakdown test voltages. However, any supply that is used within a patient's vicinity still has to meet IEC/EN 60601-1's earth leakage current requirements, which would almost certainly require significant modifications to a normal commercial unit. Such modifications typically include reducing the values of the Y-capacitors that help reduce the earth leakage current but this has a negative effect on the emissions produced by the power supply. As a result, the modified unit is less likely to meet EMC regulations and may require additional internal and/or external filtering. Re-qualifying a modified supply for safety or EMC concerns can then be a costly and time-consuming exercise.

Marketing considerations can play an important part here too. Despite the cost savings that using standard commercial supplies might present, many medical equipment manufacturers still choose to specify IEC/EN 60601-1-approved parts for any product that is likely to come into contact with a patient, as to do otherwise may compromise salability. From a commercial perspective, the manufacturer faces two main choices here—to possibly save money by purchasing IEC/EN 60950-compliant supplies when the risk assessment determines that this is an option, or to go for a cost-effective IEC/EN 60601-1 approved unit. In a parallel development, component technology and



design technique improvements now enable power-supply manufacturers to offer units that simultaneously meet industrial, information technology, and medical standards, with volume manufacturing lowering costs to make medicalquality supplies cost-competitive with commercial units.

Figure 2: These 100W AC/DC switchers that meet industrial, ITE and medical specifications cost little more than ITE-only approved units For instance, a typical 60W medically-qualified power supply costs around \$35 in quantities of a few hundred pieces. Substituting a normal IEC/EN 60950-compliant part is unlikely to save more than \$5, while at the same time limiting application flexibility. Worse, if you then have to modify the commercial-quality supply to meet say leakage current requirements, this choice is no longer lower cost. It may also limit your market, compromise your brand, or introduce additional and avoidable risks. As a result, specifying IEC/EN 60601-1 approved units that comply with Means Of Patient Protection (MOPP) is becoming a preferred approach for device manufacturers.

Whilst the 3rd edition appears to offer the device manufacturer more options on the choice of power supply, the fundamental question of risk vs cost must be considered; does one opt for a cheaper power supply with lower performance to save a few dollars or go for a higher specification power supply that might cost more but reduces the risk to as low as possible. After all, If you get it wrong In medical device design It could severely delay gaining regulatory approval or worse.





North American HQ

 XP Power

 990 Benecia Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA 94085

 Phone
 : +1 (408) 732-7777

 Fax
 : +1 (408) 732-2002

 Email
 : nasales@xppower.com

North American Sales Offices

Toll Free+1	(800)	253-0490
Central Region+1	(972)	578-1530
Eastern Region+1	(973)	658-8001
Western Region+1	(408)	732-7777

European HQ

 XP Power

 Horseshoe
 Park, Pangbourne,

 Berkshire,
 RG8 7JW, UK

 Phone
 : +44 (0)118 984 5515

 Fax
 : +44 (0)118 984 3423

 Email
 : eusales@xppower.com

European Sales Offices

Austria	+41 (0)56 448 90 80
Belgium	+33 (0)1 45 12 31 15
Denmark	+45 43 42 38 33
Finland	+46 (0)8 555 367 01
France	+33 (0)1 45 12 31 15
Germany	+49 (0)421 63 93 3 0
Italy	+39 039 2876027
Netherlands	+49 (0)421 63 93 3 0
Norway	+47 63 94 60 18
Sweden	+46 (0)8 555 367 00
Switzerland	+41 (0)56 448 90 80
United Kingdom	+44 (0)118 984 5515

Global Catalog Distributors

Americas	Newark	newark.com
Europe & Asia	Farnell	farnell.com
China	Premier Electronics	premierelectronics.com.cn

German HQ XP Power

Fax

Email

Auf der Höhe 2, D-28357

Phone : +49 (0)421 63 93 3 0

: +49 (0)421 63 93 3 10

: desales@xppower.com

Bremen, Germany

Asian HQ

XP Powe	er
401 Con	nmonwealth Drive, Haw Par Technocentre, Lobby B
#02-02,	Singapore 149598
Phone	: +65 6411 6900
Fax	: +65 6741 8730
Email	: apsales@xppower.com
Web	: www.xppowerchina.com / www.xppower.com

Asian Sales Offices

Shanghai	+86 21	5138	38389
Singapore	+65	6411	6902

Distributors

Australia	+61 2 9809 5022	Amtex
Balkans	+386 1 583 7930	Elbacomp
Czech Rep	+420 235 366 129	Vums Powerprag
Czech Rep	+420 539 050 630	Koala Elektronik
Estonia	+372 6228866	Elgerta
Greece	+30 210 240 1961	ADEM Electronics
Israel	+972 9 7498777	Appletec
Japan	+81 48 864 7733	Bellnix
Korea	+82 31 422 8882	Hanpower
Latvia	+371 67501005	Caro
Lithuania	+370 5 2652683	Elgerta
Poland	+48 22 8627500	Gamma
Portugal	+34 93 263 33 54	Venco
Russia	+7 (495)234 0636	Prosoft
Russia	+7 (812)325 5115	Gamma
South Africa	+27 11 453 1910	Vepac
Spain	+34 93 263 33 54	Venco
Taiwan	+886 3 3559642	Fullerton Power
Turkey	+90 212 465 7199	EMPA

N



Т

н

Ε

Х

E

R

Т

S

Е

R